

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				A 3560
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION-NO.
09/737,308	12/18/2000	Mark Alan West	3036/49488	1672
23911 75	90 05/10/2004		EXAMI	NER
CROWELL &	MORING LLP	HAN, CLEMENCE S		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 1430	0		ARTONII	TATER NOMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			2665	$\sim$
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	· /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/737,308	WEST ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Clemence Han	2665		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply spacified above is less than thirty (30) days of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, howaver, may a reion.  in.  i, a reply within tha statutory minimum of thirty penod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  statuta, cause the application to bacoma AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).		
Status	•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 December 2000.			
2a) <u></u>		This action is non-final.	·		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the ments is		
	closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	• •			
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers		·		
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	·		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t	•	• •		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been	pplication No		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not i	received.		
Attachmen	t(e)				
_	ष्डा e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Inform	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Star No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2665

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Responsive to preliminary amendment received on December 18, 2001, claims 9 and 10 are cancelled.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. An initialed and dated copies of Applicant's IDS form 1449, Paper No. 5 and 6, are attached to the instant Office action.

## Specification

3. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

Art Unit: 2665

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

(e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

(1) Field of the Invention.

- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of "(Fig. 1)" in the last line. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/737,308

Art Unit: 2665

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claim 1, 2 and 5–8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bakre et al. ("Implementation and Performance Evaluation of Indirect TCP", IEEE Transactions on Computers, Vol. 46, No. 3, Pages 260–278, March 1997).

In regarding to claim 1, Bakre teaches a method of providing location specific congestion control in a single end-to-end transmission control protocol connection, the method comprising splitting the single end-to-end connection into two connections such that only one of the connections has standard transmission control protocol congestion control (Figure 4 on Page 264).

In regarding to claim 2, Bakre teaches the other of the first or second connection comprising wireless connection (Figure 4 on Page 264).

In regarding to claim 5, Bakre teaches a mobile telecommunications cell comprising a base station and at least one mobile terminal, the cell including means for providing a transmission control protocol connection to the internet, and means for providing congestion control by splitting the transmission control protocol connection into two connections, the means for providing standard transmission control protocol congestion control being operable only in one of the two connections (Figure 4 on Page 264).

Art Unit: 2665

In regarding to claim 6, Bakre teaches the transmission control protocol connection extending from the base station to an internet, the connection in which transmission control protocol congestion control operates being located between the base station and the internet (Section 2.1 on Page 261, Figure 4 on Page 264).

In regarding to claim 7, Bakre teaches the means for providing congestion control in this direction comprising an enhancer (Figure 4 on Page 264).

In regarding to claim 8, Bakre teaches the enhancer co-located with the base station (Figure 4 on Page 264).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bakre et al. in view of Abrol et al. (US Patent 6,463,034).

In regarding to claim 3, Bakre teaches a method of providing location specific congestion control in a single end-to-end transmission control protocol connection, the method comprising splitting the single end-to-end connection into two connections such that only one of the connections has standard transmission

Art Unit: 2665

control protocol congestion control and the other connection comprises wireless connection (Figure 4 on Page 264). Bakre, however, does not teach the wireless connection comprising a point-to-point connection. Abrol teaches the wireless connection comprising a point-to-point connection (Column 1 Line 12–15). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bakre to use a point-to-point connection as taught by Abrol in order to provide link control (Column 1 Line 27–34).

In regarding to claim 4, Abrol teaches the point-to-point connection comprising a connection between a base station 106 and a mobile terminal 104 in a telecommunications cell.

### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to the internet access in general.
  - U.S. Patent 6,563,813 to Coveley
  - U.S. Patent 6,628,671 to Dynarski et al.
  - U.S. Patent 6,646,987 to Qaddoura
  - U.S. Patent 6,208,620 to Sen et al.
  - U.S. Patent 6,496,481 to Wu et al.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clemence Han whose telephone number is (703) 305-0372. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (703) 308-6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clemence Han Examiner Art Unit 2665

ISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600